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The Term Structure of Interest Rates: A Discrete Time Analysis

## Fundamental Concepts

The term of a debt instrument with a fixed maturity date is the time until the maturity date.
The term structure of interest rates at any time is the function relating interest rate to term. The study of the term structure inquires what market forces are responsible for the varying shapes of the term structure.

In its purest form, this study considers only bonds for which we can disregard default risk ${ }^{1}$, convertibility provisions ${ }^{2}$, call provisions ${ }^{3}$, floating rate provisions (provisions that change the interest payments according to some rule) or other special features.
Thus, the study of the term structure may be regarded as the study of the market price of time, over various intervals, itself.

The term bond will be used here for any debt instrument, whether technically bond, bill, note, commercial paper, etc. and whether or not payments are defined in nominal (money) terms or in real terms (that is, tied to a commodity price index).

## Bonds

A bond represents a claim on a prespecified sequence of payments. A bond which is issued at time $t$ and matures at time $T$ is defined by a $w$ - element vector of payment dates $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{w-1}, T\right)$,
and by a $w$ - element vector of corresponding positive payments $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{w}\right)$.
In theoretical treatments of the term structure, payments may be assumed to be made continually in time, so that the payment stream is represented by a positive function of time $s(\tau), t \leq \tau \leq T$.

Two kinds of payment sequences are common.
For the discount bond (or zero coupon bond) ${ }^{4}$ :
the vector of payment dates contains a single element $T$
and the vector of payments contains the single element called the principal (or face value).
A coupon bond, in contrast, promises a payment at regular intervals of an amount $c$ called the coupon and a payment of the last coupon and principal at the maturity date.

The purchaser at time $t$ of a bond maturing at time $T$ pays price $P(t, T)$ and is entitled to receive those payments corresponding to the $t_{i}$ that are greater than $t$, so long as the purchaser continues to hold the bond. A coupon bond is said to be selling at par at time $t$ if $P(t, T)$ is equal to the value of the principal, by our convention equal to 1.00 currency unit. A coupon bond may be regarded as a portfolio of discount bonds. If coupons are paid once per time period, for example, then the portfolio consists of an amount $c$ of discount bonds maturing at time $t+1$, an amount $c$ of discount bonds maturing at time $t+2$, etc. and an amount $(c+1)$ of discount bonds maturing at time $T$.

Should all such bonds be traded, we would expect, by the law of one price ${ }^{5}$,
that (disregarding discrepancies allowed by taxes, transactions costs and other market imperfections)
the price of the portfolio of discount bonds should be the same as the price of the coupon bond.
There is thus (abstracting from market imperfections) a redundancy in bond prices, and if both discount and coupon bonds existed for all maturities,
we could arbitrarily confine our attention to discount bonds only or coupon bonds only. (In practice, we do not always have prices on both kinds of bonds for the same maturities.)
There is also a redundancy among coupon bonds, in that one can find coupon bonds of different coupon payments for the same maturity date. At this stage, our analysis will be confined to default-free discount bonds. Coupon bearing bonds will be used in the analysis of duration, convexity, and fixed income portfolio management.

- The Spot Interest Rate, prevailing at time $t$ for repayment at time $(t+1): r_{t}=R_{t}-1$ or $\left(1+r_{t}\right)=R_{t}$.
- The market price of a Default-free Discount Bond prevailing at time $t$ for one currency unit at time $T$, denoted by $P(t, T)$. (So by definition $P(T, T)=1$.)
- The Yield-to-Maturity viewed as the $(T-t)$-period interest rate, compounded once per period, prevailing at time $t$, denoted by $Y(t, T)$.

In other words, the yield is the internal rate of return on the bond (also called the "long rate").

- The (one-period) Forward Rate, that is, an interest rate embodied in current-time $t$ prices that will prevail at time $T$ for payment at time $(T+1)$, denoted by $f(t, T)$.
So by definition we have that $Y(t, t+1) \equiv f(t, t)$.
Also note that under certainty we have that
$f(t, T) \equiv Y(T, T+1)=r_{T}$.
It is very helpful to interpret the first "time element" in bond quotations as the evolutionary time, and the second "time element" as the maturity time. Also, the term (remaining time to maturity) of the bond is inversed time, i.e. $(T-t)$.

Basic Relationships
Since the compound amount of principal $P(t, T)$ at interest rate $Y(t, T)$ after $(T-t)$ periods is 1 currency unit, it follows directly that:

$$
\begin{align*}
P(t, T)(1+Y(t, T))^{T-t} & =1, \text { or } \\
P(t, T) & =\frac{1}{[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t}}, \text { or } \\
{[1+Y(t, T)] } & =\frac{1}{P(t, T)^{\frac{1}{T-t}}} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, t) \equiv Y(t, t+1) \equiv r_{t} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Strategy 1

Think of the following strategy: Being at the present time, $t$, buy (invest in) a $(T+1)$-period bond at $P(t, T+1)$,
and sell (issue) an amount $\frac{P(t, T+1)}{P(t, T)}$ of $T$-maturity bonds at a price $P(t, T)$.
Effectively, your net position at time $t$ is zero, since your outlay of $P(t, T+1)$ is matched with your revenue, $\left[\frac{P(t, T+1)}{P(t, T)}\right] P(t, T)$. Implicitly, by this transaction you are committing yourself to invest at time $T$ an amount $\frac{P(t, T+1)}{P(t, T)} \times P(T, T)=\frac{P(t, T+1)}{P(t, T)}$
and then wait to receive your proceeds at time $(T+1)$ :
$P(T+1, T+1)=1$.

Then, the forward rate of this contract is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\frac{P(t, T+1)}{P(t, T)}\right][1+f(t, T)] } & \equiv 1, \text { or } \\
1+f(t, T) & =\frac{P(t, T)}{P(t, T+1)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $f(t, T)$ is fully embodied in current prices! Under certainty $[1+f(t, T)] \equiv 1+r_{T}$

- Equation (3) implies that:

$$
\begin{align*}
(1+f(t, T-1)) & =\frac{P(t, T-1)}{P(t, T)} \Rightarrow \\
P(t, T) & =\frac{P(t, T-1)}{1+f(t, T-1)} \tag{4a}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
(1+f(t, T-2)) & =\frac{P(t, T-2)}{P(t, T-1)} \Rightarrow \\
P(t, T-1) & =\frac{P(t, T-2)}{1+f(t, T-2)} \tag{4b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, expressions (4a) and (4b) combined lead to:

$$
P(t, T)=\frac{P(t, T-2)}{[1+f(t, T-1)][1+f(t, T-2)]}
$$

Recursively, we obtain that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t, T)=\frac{\overbrace{1}^{P(t, t)}}{\underbrace{\left[1+r_{t}\right]}_{1+f(t, t)}[1+f(t, t+1)] \ldots[1+f(t, T-1)]} . \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
P(t, T)=\frac{\overbrace{1}^{P(t, t)}}{\underbrace{\left[1+r_{t}\right]}_{1+f(t, t)}[1+f(t, t+1)] \ldots[1+f(t, T-1)]} .
$$

Alternatively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
P(t, T) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{1}{[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t}} \\
\stackrel{(5 \mathrm{a})}{=} \frac{1}{\left[1+r_{t}\right] \ldots[1+f(t, T-1)]}, \text { or } \\
{[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t}=} \\
 \tag{5b}\\
\quad\left[1+r_{t}\right][1+f(t, t+1)] \\
\\
\ldots[1+f(t, T-1)]
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that yield and price are "global" concepts which can be expressed in terms of one-period forward rates.

No-Arbitrage Relationships and the Term Structure in a Certain Economy.

- (One-period investment strategy) Under no risk ${ }^{6}$, any equilibrium must be characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, T)=r_{T} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, an arbitrage opportunity could exist through trading at time $t$ in $T$, and $(T+1)$-maturity bonds.

Proof. Say $f(t, T)<r_{T}$. Then, buy a $T$-period bond at $P(t, T)$ and sell an amount $[1+f(t, T)]$ of $(T+1)$-period bonds at $P(t, T+1)$.
Note that both actions take place at time $t$.
The net cost, at time $t$, of this transaction is zero since (see eq. (3)):

$$
P(t, T)=P(t, T+1)[1+f(t, T)] .
$$

Note that the left-hand-side of the above expression is the cost of the investment
whereas the right-hand-side is the revenue from the transaction.
We have also used $[1+f(t, T)]=\frac{P(t, T)}{P(t, T+1)}$.
At time $T$ the investor receives one currency unit which when reinvested at $r_{T}$ will yield $\left(1+r_{T}\right)$ at period $(T+1)$.
The obligation of the investor is to repay at $(T+1)$ the amount $[1+f(t, T)]$. Since $f(t, T)<r_{T}$, an arbitrage profit is possible.

- (Multi-period investment strategy) Under no risk, any equilibrium must imply that
"the certain total return of holding a $T$-period bond until it matures is equal to the total return on a series of one-period bonds over the term, $(T-t) "$.
From equation (5a) we have that:

$$
P(t, T)=\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right)[1+f(t, t+1)] \ldots[1+f(t, T-1)]}
$$

which, after substituting eq. (6), $f(t, T)=r_{T}$, can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t, T)=\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right)} \tag{7a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{P(t, T)} \stackrel{(1)}{=}[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} \\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right) \tag{7b}
\end{align*}
$$

- A direct consequence of equation (7b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{P(t, T)} \stackrel{(1)}{=}[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} \\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[1+Y(t, T)]=\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that "one plus the long-rate" is equal to the geometric mean of "one plus the short-rate".

- We finally need to evaluate the total single period return of a "long term" bond in a riskless economy. Using equation (7a) we can write that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{P(t+1, T)}{P(t, T)}= & \underbrace{\left[\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right)\right]^{-1}}_{P(t+1, T)} \times \\
& \overbrace{\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right)\right]}^{1 / P(t, T)}=\left(1+r_{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A single period return then must be equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{P(t+1, T)}{P(t, T)}=\left(1+r_{t}\right)=R_{t}, \text { or }  \tag{9}\\
& \frac{P(t+1, T)-P(t, T)}{P(t, T)}=r_{t} \tag{9'}
\end{align*}
$$

The Expectations Hypotheses in an Uncertain Economy

## The Unbiased Expectations Hypothesis (UEH)

It directly stems from the non-arbitrage condition (6) and takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\tilde{r}_{T}\right)=f(t, T) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{r}_{T}$ denotes the random (because of uncertainty) spot interest rate at time $T$.

Using eq. (5)

$$
\frac{1}{P(t, T)}=\left[1+r_{t}\right][1+f(t, t+1)] \ldots[1+f(t, T-1)]
$$

and (10) we find that, in an economy characterized by the UEH, discount bond prices are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{P(t, T)}=\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right), \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, in the expression for the $P(t, T)$ we take the product of the expectations.
$\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)$
or equivalently,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{U E H}=[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} }  \tag{11b}\\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The Return-to-Maturity Expectations Hypothesis (RTM).
It directly stems from the non-arbitrage condition (7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{P(t, T)} \stackrel{(1)}{=}[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} \\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

and takes the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{R T M}=[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} }  \tag{12}\\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left[\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

that is, in the expression for $1 / P(t, T)$ we take the expectation of the product

$$
\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left[\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]
$$

Consider two traders, $A$ and $B$, who trade according to their equilibrium beliefs:
trader A uses the "mechanical" rule based on UEH, whereas trader B uses the more "economics" rule based on RTM. Under which condition(s) traders A and B will agree in their equilibrium prices?
It follows directly from expressions (11b) and (12) that this will happen only when

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right] \\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, traders $A$ and $B$ will agree in their equilibrium prices when the future levels of short-term interest rates are uncorrelated.

However, empirical evidence suggests that short-term interest rates are positively correlated over time, in which case we have that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{E\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]}_{1 / P_{R T M}(t, T)} \\
> & \underbrace{\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)}_{1 / P_{U E H}(t, T)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(t, T)_{\text {RTM }} & <P(t, T)_{U E H}, \text { and } \\
Y(t, T)_{\text {RTM }} & >Y(t, T)_{U E H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finance theorists tend to prefer the RTM to the UEH.
The reason is that the RTM implies that, given a particular strategy by an investor, total expected holding period returns are equated by the RTM.

Suppose that an investor buys at $t$ an $s$-maturity bond $(t<s)$, and then reinvests the proceeds to a $(T-s)$ - period bond $(s<T)$.
The expected return of her portfolio over the entire holding period $(T-t)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{P(t, s)} E\left[\frac{1}{\tilde{P}(s, T)}\right] \\
& \stackrel{(12)}{=} E\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{s-1}\right)\right] \times \\
& E\left[\left(1+\tilde{r}_{s}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Alternatively, if she buys a $(T-t)$-period bond, she will earn

$$
\frac{1}{P(t, T)}=E\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{s}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]
$$

Again, the above two expressions will be equal as long as, for any $s$, the $(s-t)$-period and $(T-s)$-period yields are uncorrelated.

## The Yield-to-Maturity Expectations Hypothesis (YTM).

It directly stems from the non-arbitrage condition (8) and takes the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[1+Y(t, T)] }  \tag{13a}\\
= & E\left\{\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

that is, we have $1+Y(t, T)$ on the left hand side and we take the expectation of the right hand side that one-plus the long-rate equals the expected geometric mean of one-plus the short-rate.
The above equation can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{Y T M}=[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} }  \tag{13b}\\
= & {\left[E\left\{\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{T^{\frac{1}{-t}}}\right\}\right]^{T-t} }
\end{align*}
$$

## The Local Expectations Hypothesis (LEH).

It directly stems from the non-arbitrage condition (9) and takes the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E[P(t+1, T)]}{P(t, T)}=\left(1+r_{t}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the expected rate of return on any maturity bond over a single period is equal to the prevailing short rate.
Cox, Ingersoll and Ross (1981, Econometrica) called this the Risk-Neutral Expectations Hypothesis, and showed that it is the only acceptable form in a competitive equilibrium.

Applying equation (14) recursively, we can obtain the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
P(t, T) & =\frac{E[P(t+1, T)]}{1+r_{t}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right)} E \overbrace{\left[\frac{P(t+2, T)}{\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right)}\right]}^{E(t, T)]} \\
& =E\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)}\right] \tag{15a}
\end{align*}
$$

that is, we have $P(t, T)$ on the left hand side and we take the expectation of the right hand side or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{L E H}=\left\{E\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)}\right]\right\}^{-1} \tag{15b}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. UEH: We have the expression for $P(t, T)$ under certainty:

$$
\frac{1}{P(t, T)}=\left[1+r_{t}\right]\left[1+r_{t+1}\right] \ldots\left[1+r_{T-1}\right]
$$

we replace, in the denominator, $1+r_{t+i}$ by $E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+i}\right)$
so we have the product of the expectations in the denominator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{U E H}=\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right) \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. RTM: We have the expression for $1 / P(t, T)$ under certainty:

$$
\frac{1}{P(t, T)}=\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right) .
$$

we replace, $1+r_{t+i}$ by $1+\tilde{r}_{t+i}$
and then we take expectations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{R T M}=[1+Y(t, T)]^{T-t} }  \tag{12}\\
= & \left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left[\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

3. YTM:

We have the expression for $[1+Y(t, T)]$ under certainty:

$$
[1+Y(t, T)]=\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+r_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+r_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}
$$

we replace, $1+r_{t+i}$ by $1+\tilde{r}_{t+i}$
and then we take expectations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[1+Y(t, T)]_{Y T M} }  \tag{13a}\\
= & E\left\{\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right)\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. LEH

We have the expression for $P(t, T)$ under certainty:

$$
P(t, T)=\frac{1}{\left[1+r_{t}\right]\left[1+r_{t+1}\right] \ldots\left[1+r_{T-1}\right]}
$$

we replace, $1+r_{t+i}$ by $1+\tilde{r}_{t+i}$
and then we take expectations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t, T)_{L E H}=E\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)}\right] \tag{15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. UEH:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{U E H}=\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots E\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)
$$

2.RTM:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{R T M}=\left(1+r_{t}\right) E\left[\left(1+\tilde{r}_{t+1}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.YTM:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{Y T M}=[\overbrace{E\left\{\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}\right\}}^{[1+Y(t, T)]_{Y T M}}]^{T-t} \tag{13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. LEH:

$$
\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{L E H}=[\overbrace{E\left\{\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\right\}}^{P(t, T)_{L E H}}]^{-1}
$$

One of the devastating implications of the Cox, Ingersoll and Ross (1981, Econometrica) critique
was that in equilibrium only one of the forms of the expectations hypotheses should obtain
since Jensen's inequality implies that the RTM, YTM, and LEH are mutually inconsistent.
Jensen's inequality for convex functions implies that $E[F(X)]>F[E(X)]$.

## LEH-RTM:

We start from the LEH and we use $X=\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{-1}$, whereas $F(X)=X^{-1}$.
To see this consider that the LEH describes equilibrium.
Then equation (15b) implies that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{L E H}=F[E(X)]=[E(X)]^{-1} } \\
&\overbrace{[\underbrace{}_{X}}^{\left[E \left\{[(X)] ;[E(X)]^{-1}\right.\right.}\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\}]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overbrace{\{ }=\underbrace{\left[\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\right]^{-1}}_{F(X)}\} \\
= & E\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right] \stackrel{(12)}{=}\left[\frac{1}{P(t, T)}\right]_{R T M},
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(t, T)_{L E H} & >P(t, T)_{R T M} \\
Y(t, T)_{L E H} & <Y(t, T)_{R T M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we start from the YTM hypothesis and we use
$X=\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}$ and $F(X)=X^{-(T-t)}$
Thus, equation (13b) implies that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(t, T)_{Y T M}=F[E(X)]=[E(X)]^{-(T-t)} \\
&= \overbrace{\left[E[E(X)] ;[E(X)]^{-(T-t)}\right.}^{\left.\left[E\left\{\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}\right\}\right]^{-(T-t)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <\overbrace{\{[\underbrace{\left[\left[\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{T-t}}\right]^{-(T-t)}}_{F(X)}\}}^{E[F(X)]}\} \\
= & E\left[\frac{1}{\left(1+r_{t}\right) \ldots\left(1+\tilde{r}_{T-1}\right)}\right]=P(t, T)_{L E H},
\end{aligned}
$$

ie.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(t, T)_{L E H} & >P(t, T)_{Y T M} \\
Y(t, T)_{L E H} & <Y(t, T)_{R T M}
\end{aligned}
$$

