

# A NEW METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE AUTOCOVARANCE OF AN ARMA MODEL: AN EXACT FORM SOLUTION

M. KARANASOS  
*York University*

In this article we present a new method for computing the theoretical autocovariance function of an autoregressive moving average model. The importance of our theorem is that it yields two interesting results: First, a closed-form solution is derived in terms of the roots of the autoregressive polynomial and the parameters of the moving average part. Second, a sufficient condition for the lack of model redundancy is obtained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we present a new method for computing the theoretical autocovariance function of an autoregressive moving average (ARMA) model.

In Section 2 of this paper we give a new method for computing the theoretical autocovariance function of an autoregressive (AR) scheme. Exact methods of calculating the autocovariance for autoregressive models are given by Quenouille (1947) and Pagano (1973). We believe that our method is an improvement over those proposed by Quenouille and Pagano. It is exact, easily coded, and can be used for AR models of all orders.

In Section 3 of this paper we give a new method for computing the autocovariance function of the following ARMA scheme:

$$y_t = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i^* y_{t-i} - \sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i \epsilon_{t-i}, \quad \theta_0 = -1$$

(From now on, we will assume that  $a_0 = 0$  for ease of calculation.) McLeod (1975) gives an algorithm for the computation of the autocovariances of the preceding process in terms of the parameters of the model  $\phi_1^*, \dots, \phi_p^*, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$ . In our method we express the autocovariance as an explicit function of the roots of

I am grateful to Marika Karanassou, who introduced me to the identification problem that arises when an AR(2) process is expressed as an AR(1) process with an AR(1) error term, which in turn formed the basis for the proof of Theorems 1 and 2. I also thank the co-editor of *Econometric Theory*, Katsuto Tanaka, and a referee for a careful reading of earlier drafts, which eliminated many errors and generally improved the quality of the paper. Address correspondence to: M. Karanasos, Department of Economics, York University, Heslington, York, YO1 5DD, United Kingdom.

the AR polynomial  $(-\sum_{i=0}^p \phi_i^* L^i, \phi_0^* = -1)$  and the parameters of the moving average (MA) part  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_q$ . The only restriction that we impose is that all the roots (complex or real) of the AR polynomial are distinct. Nevertheless, the cases of two, three, and four equal roots are presented in the Appendixes.

To point out the importance of our theorem we quote Granger and Newbold (1986): “A specific form for the autocovariance sequence  $\text{cov}_j(y_t)$  of an ARMA( $p, q$ ) model is more difficult to find than it was for the AR and MA models. In principle an expression for  $\text{cov}_j(y_t)$  can be found by solving the difference equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^p \phi_i^* E(y_{t-j} \epsilon_{t-i}) = -\theta_j$$

for the  $E(y_{t-j} \epsilon_{t-i})$ , substituting into

$$g_j = -\sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i E(y_{t-j} \epsilon_{t-i})$$

to find the  $g$ 's and then solving the difference equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^p \phi_i^* \text{cov}_{j-i}(y_t) = g_j$$

for the  $\text{cov}_j(y_t)$ . Because in general the results will be rather complicated, the eventual solution is not presented.”

The reasons we present our proof are that it is simpler than the one based on solving differences equations and that it has two important consequences:

- (a) It is convenient for obtaining a solution in closed form.
- (b) It provides a sufficient condition for the lack of model redundancy for an ARMA process.<sup>1</sup>

## 2. AUTOCOVARIANCE OF AN AR( $p$ )

Let  $y_t$  be an AR(2) process that is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \phi_1^* y_{t-1} + \phi_2^* y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t \Rightarrow (1 - \phi_1^* L - \phi_2^* L^2) y_t = \epsilon_t \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \phi_1 L)(1 - \phi_2 L) y_t = \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } (0, \sigma^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

(Without loss of generality, we assume that the variance of  $\epsilon_t$  is 1.)

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The autocovariance function of the preceding AR(2) process is given by*

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \left( \frac{\phi_1^n \phi_1}{1 - \phi_1^2} - \frac{\phi_2^n \phi_2}{1 - \phi_2^2} \right). \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The AR(2) process can be written as an AR(1) process with an AR(1) error term ( $z_t$ ):

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t \tag{2.3}$$

where  $z_t = \phi_2 z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ . The  $n$ th ( $n \geq 1$ ) autocovariance of  $y_t$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_1^i z_{t-i} + \phi_1^n y_{t-n} \Rightarrow \text{cov}(y_t, y_{t-n}) \\ &= \phi_1^n \text{var}(y_t) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(z_{t-i}, y_{t-n}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Because  $y_{t-n} = \phi_1 y_{t-n-1} + z_{t-n} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i z_{t-n-i}$  we have

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(z_t, z_{t-n-i}). \tag{2.5}$$

Because  $\text{cov}(z_t, z_{t-j}) = \phi_2^j \text{var}(z_t)$ , we get

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \phi_2^n \text{var}(z_t) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\phi_1 \phi_2)^i = \frac{\phi_2^n \text{var}(z_t)}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_2}. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.4) and (2.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_n(y_t) &= \phi_1^n \text{var}(y_t) + \frac{\text{var}(z_t) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_2^{n-i} \phi_1^i}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)} \\ &= \phi_1^n \text{var}(y_t) + \frac{\phi_2^n}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_2} \text{var}(z_t) \left[ \frac{1 - (\phi_1/\phi_2)^n}{1 - (\phi_1/\phi_2)} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Using (2.3) and (2.6) we can write the variance of  $y_t$  as

$$\text{var}(y_t) = \frac{\text{var}(z_t) + 2\phi_1 \phi_2 \frac{\text{var}(z_t)}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_2}}{1 - \phi_1^2} = \frac{1 + \phi_1 \phi_2}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(1 - \phi_1^2)(1 - \phi_2^2)}. \tag{2.8}$$

From equations (2.7) and (2.8) we have

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \left( \frac{\phi_1^n \phi_1}{1 - \phi_1^2} - \frac{\phi_2^n \phi_2}{1 - \phi_2^2} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

■

**Important Property.** Equation (2.3) is symmetric with respect to  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . Hence the autocovariance function (2.9) is symmetric with respect to  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ .

We note that the  $n$ th autocovariance of an AR(2) process is a function of the inverse of the two roots (hereafter  $i$ -roots)  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  of the second-order poly-

nomial  $(1 - \phi_1^*L - \phi_2^*L^2)$  and can be expressed as the sum of two terms. The first term is the product of the first  $i$ -root raised to the power of  $n$  ( $\phi_1^n$ ) and a coefficient that depends on the two  $i$ -roots  $\{\phi_1/[ (1 - \phi_1\phi_2)(\phi_1 - \phi_2)(1 - \phi_1^2) ]\}$ . The second term is the product of the second  $i$ -root raised to the power of  $n$  ( $\phi_2^n$ ) and a coefficient that depends on the two  $i$ -roots  $\{\phi_2/[ (1 - \phi_1\phi_2)(\phi_2 - \phi_1)(1 - \phi_2^2) ]\}$ . Given the symmetry of the autocovariance function in the  $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial the coefficients of the two terms are such that if in the first term we interchange  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  we will get the second one. The preceding form of the autocovariance of an AR(2) process leads us to the first principal result of this paper.

Let  $y_t$  be an AR( $p$ ) process that is given by

$$y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i^* y_{t-i} + \epsilon_t \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \phi_i L) y_t = \epsilon_t. \tag{2.10}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *The autocovariance function of the preceding AR( $p$ ) process is given by*

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{\phi_j^{p-1} \phi_j^n}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \phi_i \phi_j) \prod_{(l=1, l \neq j)}^p (\phi_j - \phi_l)} = \sum_{j=1}^p e_{jn}. \tag{2.11}$$

**Proof (1st Method).** We prove the theorem by induction: In Proposition 1 we proved that it holds for an AR(2) process; if we assume that it holds for an AR( $p - 1$ ) process, then it will be sufficient to prove that it holds for an AR( $p$ ).

Let  $y_t$  be an AR( $p$ ) process. Then it can be written as an AR(1) process with an AR( $p - 1$ ) error term [ $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t$  where  $z_t$  is an AR( $p - 1$ ) process given by]

$$\prod_{i=2}^p (1 - \phi_i L) z_t = \epsilon_t. \tag{2.12}$$

Notice that the AR( $p$ ) process is symmetric with respect to the  $p$   $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial  $(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)$ . Hence, the autocovariance function will also be symmetric with respect to the  $p$   $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial.

For easy reference we rewrite (2.4) and (2.5):

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \phi_1^n \text{var}(y_t) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(z_{t-i}, y_{t-n}), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(z_t, z_{t-n-i}). \tag{2.14}$$

In equation(2.13), when  $n = 0$ , the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the summation. When this is the case we will say that the summation vanishes. In other words for  $n = 0$  equation (2.13) becomes a tautology.

Because  $z_t$  is an AR( $p - 1$ ) process, its autocovariance is given by

$$\text{cov}_n(z_t) = \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\phi_j^{p-2} \phi_j^n}{\prod_{i=2}^p (1 - \phi_j \phi_i) \prod_{(l=2, l \neq j)}^p (\phi_j - \phi_l)} = \sum_{j=2}^p \hat{e}_{jn}. \tag{2.15}$$

Substituting (2.15) into (2.14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) &= \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\phi_j^{p-2} \phi_j^n}{\prod_{i=2}^p (1 - \phi_j \phi_i) \prod_{(l=2, l \neq j)}^p (\phi_j - \phi_l) (1 - \phi_1 \phi_j)} \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\phi_j^{p-2} \phi_j^n}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \phi_j \phi_i) \prod_{(l=2, l \neq j)}^p (\phi_j - \phi_l)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Substituting (2.16) into (2.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_n(y_t) &= \phi_1^n \text{var}(y_t) + \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\phi_j^{p-1} \phi_j^n \frac{1 - (\phi_1/\phi_j)^n}{\phi_j - \phi_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \phi_j \phi_i) \prod_{(l=2, l \neq j)}^p (\phi_j - \phi_l)} \\ &= \phi_1^n A + \sum_{j=2}^p \phi_j^n e_{j0}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where

$$A = \text{var}(y_t) - \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\phi_j^{p-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \phi_j \phi_i) \prod_{(l=1, l \neq j)}^p (\phi_j - \phi_l)}. \tag{2.17a}$$

The autocovariance function is the sum of  $p$  terms. The first term is the product of  $\phi_1^n$  and a coefficient that depends on  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p, (A)$ . Each of the rest of the  $p - 1$  terms is the product of  $\phi_j^n$  where  $j = 2, 3, \dots, p$  and a coefficient that depends on  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, (e_{j0})$ . Given the symmetry of the autocovariance function in the  $i$ -roots of the  $p$ th-order AR polynomial, if we interchange the inverse of the  $j$ -root  $\phi_j$  with the inverse of the first root  $\phi_1$  in the coefficient  $e_{j0}$  we will get the coefficient of  $\phi_1^n$ , that is, coefficient  $A$ . Hence,  $A$  is given by

$$A = \frac{\phi_1^{p-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \phi_1 \phi_i) \prod_{l=2}^p (\phi_1 - \phi_l)} = e_{10}. \tag{2.18}$$

Finally, substituting the preceding equation into (2.17) we get equation (2.11). ■

Proof (2nd Method). The preceding proof does not hold for the variance of  $y_t$ , because, as we mentioned earlier on, when  $n = 0$ , equation (2.13) becomes a tautology. However, there is an alternative proof that holds for the variance as well.

The covariance between  $y_t$  and  $z_{t-n}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_1^i z_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{n+i} z_{t-n-i} \Rightarrow \text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-n}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_1^i \text{cov}_{n-i}(z_t) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{n+i} \text{cov}_i(z_t). \tag{2.19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.15) into (2.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-n}) &= \sum_{j=2}^p \hat{e}_{j,n+1} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\phi_1}{\phi_j}\right)^n}{\phi_j - \phi_1} + \phi_1^n \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{j0}}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_j} \\
 &= \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{j1} \phi_j^n}{\phi_j - \phi_1} + \phi_1^n \left( \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{j0}}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_j} - \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{j1}}{\phi_j - \phi_1} \right). \tag{2.20}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that, when  $n = 0$ , the first term in the right-hand side of the preceding equation becomes zero, and this is in accordance with the fact that, when  $n = 0$ , the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the summation of the first term in the right-hand side of equation (2.19).

The  $n$ th ( $n \geq 0$ ) autocovariance of  $y_t$  is given by

$$y_{t-n} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i z_{t-n-i} \Rightarrow \text{cov}_n(y_t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-n-i}). \tag{2.21}$$

Substituting (2.20) into (2.21), and after some algebra, we get

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \sum_{j=2}^p \phi_j^n e_{j0} + \phi_1^n \hat{A}, \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$\hat{A} = \frac{1}{1 - \phi_1^2} \left[ \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{j0}}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_j)} - \sum_{j=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{j1}}{\phi_j - \phi_1} \right]. \tag{2.22a}$$

We employ the same reasoning with the one used in the first method (p. 626) to get

$$\hat{A} = e_{10}. \tag{2.23}$$

Finally, substituting the preceding equation into (2.22) we get equation (2.11). ■

**3. AUTOCOVARANCE OF AN ARMA( $p, q$ )**

Let  $z_t$  be an ARMA(1,  $q$ ) process given by

$$z_t = \phi_2 z_{t-1} - \sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i \epsilon_{t-i}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\theta_0 = -1$  and  $\epsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } (0, \sigma^2)$ .<sup>2</sup>

PROPOSITION 2. *The autocovariance function of  $z_t$  is given by*

$$\text{cov}_j(z_t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\phi_2^j}{1 - \phi_2^2} \lambda_{2j}, & j \leq q - 1 \\ \frac{\phi_2^j}{1 - \phi_2^2} \lambda_{2q}, & j \geq q, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\lambda_{2j} = \sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i^2 + \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{k=0}^{q-l} \theta_k \theta_{k+l} (\phi_2^l + \phi_2^{-l}) + \sum_{l=j+1}^q \sum_{k=0}^{q-l} \theta_k \theta_{k+l} (\phi_2^l + \phi_2^{l-2j}). \tag{3.2a}$$

Proof. It is not difficult to show that

$$\text{cov}_j(z_t) = \phi_2^j \text{var}(z_t) + \sum_{i=1}^{\min(j,q)} a_i \phi_2^{j-i}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$a_i = - \sum_{m=0}^{q-i} \theta_{i+m} \phi_2^m + \sum_{n=0}^{q-1-i} \sum_{k=1}^{q-i-n} \theta_k \theta_{n+i+k} \phi_2^n. \tag{3.3a}$$

It should be noted that, for  $i = q$ , the preceding double summation vanishes because the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the summation operator.

It can be seen that the variance of  $z_t$  is given by

$$(1 - \phi_2^2) \text{var}(z_t) = \sum_{m=0}^q \theta_m^2 + 2\phi_2 \left( - \sum_{n=1}^q \phi_2^{n-1} \theta_n + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=1}^{q-l} \theta_k \theta_{k+l} \phi_2^{l-1} \right). \tag{3.4}$$

We substitute equations (3.3a) and (3.4) into (3.3) and (after some algebra) we get equation (3.2). ■

Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 lead us to the second principal result of this paper.

Let  $y_t$  be an ARMA( $p, q$ ) process given by

$$y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i^* y_{t-i} - \sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i \epsilon_{t-i}, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $\theta_0 = -1$  and  $\epsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d.}(0,1)$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The autocovariance function of the preceding ARMA( $p, q$ ) process ( $y_t$ ) is given by*

$$\text{cov}_j(y_t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^p e_{ij} \lambda_{ij}, & j \leq q - 1 \\ \sum_{i=1}^p e_{ij} \lambda_{iq}, & j \geq q, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$e_{ij} = \frac{\phi_i^j \phi_i^{p-1}}{\prod_{l=1}^p (1 - \phi_l \phi_i) \prod_{(k=1, k \neq i)}^p (\phi_i - \phi_k)} \quad (3.6a)$$

and

$$\lambda_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^q \theta_k^2 + \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{k=0}^{q-l} \theta_k \theta_{k+l} (\phi_i^l + \phi_i^{-l}) + \sum_{l=j+1}^q \sum_{k=0}^{q-l} \theta_k \theta_{k+l} (\phi_i^l + \phi_i^{l-2j}). \quad (3.6b)$$

Note that, for  $j = q$ , the third term of the right-hand side of the preceding equation disappears because the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the first summation operator.

Proof (Case  $i, j \geq q$ ). We prove the theorem by induction: In Proposition 2 we proved that the theorem holds for an ARMA(1,  $q$ ) process; if we assume that it holds for an ARMA( $p - 1, q$ ) process, then it will be sufficient to prove that it holds for an ARMA( $p, q$ ) process.

Let  $y_t$  be an ARMA( $p, q$ ) process. Then it can be written as an AR(1) process with an ARMA( $p - 1, q$ ) error term ( $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t$ ), where  $z_t$  is an ARMA( $p - 1, q$ ) process given by

$$\prod_{i=2}^p (1 - \phi_i L) z_t = - \sum_{i=0}^q \theta_i \epsilon_{t-i}. \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that the ARMA( $p, q$ ) process is symmetric with respect to the  $i$ -roots of the  $p$ th-order AR polynomial. Hence, the autocovariance will also be symmetric with respect to the  $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial. Because  $z_t$  is an ARMA( $p - 1, q$ ) process, its autocovariance is given by

$$\text{cov}_j(z_t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{ij} \lambda_{ij} = \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{ij} (\lambda_{iq} + \nu_{ij}), & 0 \leq j \leq q - 1 \\ \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{ij} \lambda_{iq}, & j \geq q, \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$



where

$$\hat{e}_{ij} = \frac{\phi_i^j \phi_i^{p-2}}{\prod_{l=2}^p (1 - \phi_l \phi_i) \prod_{(k=2, k \neq i)}^p (\phi_i - \phi_k)} \tag{3.8a}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{ij} = & - \sum_{m=0}^{q-j-1} \theta_{q-m} (\phi_i^{q-2j-m} - \phi_i^{-q+m}) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1-j} \sum_{l=0}^{q-j-(k+1)} \theta_k \theta_{q-l} (\phi_i^{q-2j-(l+k)} - \phi_i^{-q+l+k}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.8b}$$

and  $\lambda_{iq}$  is given by (3.6b). Note that, when  $j = q - 1$ , the second term in the preceding equation vanishes because the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the summation operator. Moreover, the relation between the  $\hat{e}$ 's is  $\hat{e}_{ij} = \phi_i \hat{e}_{i,j-1} = \dots = \phi_i^{j-1} \hat{e}_{i1}$ .

In equation (3.8) we expressed the  $\lambda_{ij}$  coefficients as functions of the  $\lambda_{iq}$  coefficients. The covariance of  $z_t$  and  $y_{t-j}$  (for  $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$ ) is given by

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-j}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(z_t, z_{t-(j+i)}). \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.9) we get

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-j}) = \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{ij}}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_i} \lambda_{iq} + \pi \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{ij} f_{ij}, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$f_{ij} = \sum_{v=j}^{q-1} \nu_{iv} (\phi_1 \phi_i)^{v-j}, \tag{3.10a}$$

and  $\pi = 0$ , for  $j \geq q$ ,  $\pi = 1$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$ .

The  $j$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_j(y_t) &= \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \text{cov}(z_{t-l}, y_{t-j}) \phi_1^l + \phi_1^j \text{var}(y_t) \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^j \text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-v}) \phi_1^{j-v} + \phi_1^j \text{var}(y_t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Substituting (3.10) into the preceding equation, and after some algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_j(y_t) &= \sum_{i=2}^p e_{i0} \lambda_{iq} \phi_i^j \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\phi_1}{\phi_i} \right)^j \right] + \phi_1^j \text{var}(y_t) + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \sum_{i=2}^p \phi_1^{j-v} \hat{e}_{iv} f_{iv} \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^p e_{ij} \lambda_{iq} + \phi_1^j \zeta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\zeta = \text{var}(y_t) - \sum_{i=2}^p e_{i0} \lambda_{iq} + \sum_{v=1}^{q-1} \sum_{i=2}^p \phi_1^{-v} \hat{e}_{iv} f_{iv}. \quad (3.12a)$$

The autocovariance of the ARMA( $p, q$ ) process is the sum of  $p$  terms. The last term is the product of  $\phi_1^j$  and a coefficient that depends on  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p$  and  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$ . Each of the first  $p - 1$  terms is the product of  $\phi_i^j$  where  $i = 2, \dots, p$  and a coefficient that depends on  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_q (e_{i0} \lambda_{iq})$ . Given the symmetry of the autocovariance function in the  $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial, if we interchange the  $i$ -root  $\phi_i$  with the first  $i$ -root  $\phi_1$  in the coefficient  $e_{i0} \lambda_{iq}$  we will get the coefficient of  $\phi_1^j$ , that is, coefficient  $\zeta$ . Hence,  $\zeta$  is given by

$$\zeta = e_{10} \lambda_{1q}. \quad (3.13)$$

Finally, substituting (3.13) into (3.12) we get (3.6). ■

Proof (Case ii,  $1 \leq j \leq q - 1$ , 1st Method). Because  $z_t$  is an ARMA( $p - 1, q$ ) process its autocovariance function is given by

$$\text{cov}_n(z_t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} \lambda_{iq} = \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} (\lambda_{ij} - \nu_{ij}), & n \geq q \\ \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} \lambda_{i,j+b} = \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{i,j+b}), & n = j + b, \quad q - 1 - j \geq b \geq 1 \\ \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} \lambda_{ij}, & n = j \\ \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} \lambda_{i,j-b} = \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{in} (\lambda_{ij} + \lambda_{i,j-b}), & n = j - b, \quad j \geq b \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

where  $\hat{e}_{in}$  is given by (3.8a),  $\nu_{ij}$  is given by (3.8b), and  $\lambda_{ij,b^+}$  and  $\lambda_{ij,b^-}$  are given by

$$\lambda_{ij,b^+} = \sum_{v=0}^{q-j-1} \left( -\theta_{q-v} + \sum_{l=1}^v \theta_l \theta_{q-(v-l)} \right) (\phi_i^{\pi_1(q-v)-2\pi_2(j+b)} - \phi_i^{q-2j-v}), \quad (3.14a)$$

where  $\pi_1 = 1$  for  $v \leq q - j - b - 1$ ,  $\pi_1 = -1$  for  $v \geq q - j - b$  and  $\pi_2 = 1$  for  $v \leq q - j - b - 1$ ,  $\pi_2 = 0$  for  $v \geq q - j - b$  and  $1 \leq j \leq q - 2$ ,  $1 \leq b \leq q - 1 - j$ , and

$$\lambda_{ij,b^-} = \sum_{v=0}^{q+b-(j+1)} \left( -\theta_{q-v} + \sum_{l=1}^v \theta_l \theta_{q-(v-l)} \right) (\phi_i^{q+2b-(2j+v)} - \phi_i^{\pi_1(q-v)-2\pi_2 j}), \quad (3.14b)$$

where  $\pi_1 = 1$  for  $0 \leq v \leq q - j - 1$ ,  $\pi_1 = -1$  for  $v \geq q - j$  and  $\pi_2 = 1$  for  $0 \leq v \leq q - j - 1$ ,  $\pi_2 = 0$  for  $v \geq q - j$  and  $2 \leq j \leq q - 1$ ,  $1 \leq b \leq j - 1$ .

In equation (3.14) we express the  $\lambda_{iq}$ ,  $\lambda_{i,j-b}$ , and  $\lambda_{i,j+b}$  as functions of the  $\lambda_{ij}$  coefficients. Note that in equations (3.14a) and (3.14b), when  $v = 0$ , the second

summation term vanishes because the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the summation operator.

The covariance between  $z_t$  and  $y_{t-v}$  is given by

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-v}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}_{v+i}(z_t). \tag{3.15}$$

Substituting (3.14) into (3.15), and after some algebra, we get

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-v}) = \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{iv} \lambda_{ij}}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_i} + \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{iv} f_{iv}^*, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_{iv}^* = & \sum_{m=v}^{j-1} \lambda_{ij, (j-m)^-} (\phi_1 \phi_i)^{m-v} + \sum_{l=1}^{q-j-1} \lambda_{ij, l^+} (\phi_1 \cdot \phi_i)^{j+l-v} \\ & - \nu_{ij} \frac{(\phi_1 \phi_i)^{q-v}}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16a}$$

Note that in  $f_{iv}^*$ , when  $v = j$ , the first term becomes zero, and when  $j = q - 1$ , the second term becomes zero because the lower limit exceeds the upper limit of the corresponding summation operator.

The  $j$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$  is given by

$$\text{cov}_j(y_t) = \sum_{v=1}^j \text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-v}) \phi_1^{j-v} + \phi_1^j \text{var}(y_t). \tag{3.17}$$

Substituting (3.16) into the preceding equation, and after some algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_j(y_t) = & \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{i1} \lambda_{ij}}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_i)(\phi_i - \phi_1)} \phi_i^j \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{\phi_1}{\phi_i} \right)^j \right] + \phi_1^j \text{var}(y_t) \\ & + \sum_{v=1}^j \sum_{i=2}^p \phi_1^{j-v} \hat{e}_{iv} f_{iv}^* \\ = & \sum_{i=2}^p e_{ij} \lambda_{ij} + \phi_1^j \zeta^*, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\zeta^* = \text{var}(y_t) + \sum_{v=1}^j \sum_{i=2}^p \phi_1^{-v} \hat{e}_{iv} f_{iv}^* - \sum_{i=2}^p e_{i0} \lambda_{ij}. \tag{3.18a}$$

We employ the same reasoning with the one used for the  $j \geq q$  case (p. 631) to get

$$\zeta^* = e_{10} \lambda_{1j}. \tag{3.19}$$

Finally, substituting the preceding equation into (3.18) we get (3.6). ■

Proof (Case ii,  $0 \leq j \leq q-1$ , 2nd Method). The covariance between  $y_t$  and  $z_{t-(j+v)}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t = \sum_{i=0}^{j+v-1} \phi_1^i z_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{j+v+i} z_{t-(j+v+i)} \Rightarrow \text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-(j+v)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j+v-1} \phi_1^i \text{cov}_{j+v-i}(z_t) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^{j+v+i} \text{cov}_i(z_t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Substituting (3.14) into the preceding equation, and after some algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-(j+v)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{i1} \lambda_{ij}}{(\phi_i - \phi_1)} (\phi_i^{j+v} - \phi_1^{j+v}) + \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,(j-k)} \lambda_{ij,k} \phi_1^{k+v} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\min(v, q-1-j)} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,(j+k)} \lambda_{ij,k} \phi_1^{v-k} \\ &\quad - \pi_1 \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\nu_{ij}}{(\phi_i - \phi_1)} \hat{e}_{iq} (\phi_i^{v+j-q+1} - \phi_1^{v+j-q+1}) \\ &\quad + \phi_1^{j+v} \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{i0} \lambda_{ij}}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_i)} + \phi_1^{j+v} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i0} \lambda_{ij,(j-k)} (\phi_1 \phi_i)^k \\ &\quad + \phi_1^{2j+v} \sum_{i=2}^p \sum_{k=1}^{q-1-j} \hat{e}_{ij} \lambda_{ij,k} (\phi_1 \phi_i)^k - \phi_1^{q+j+v} \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{i,q} \nu_{ij}}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_i)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\pi_1 = 0$  for  $v \leq q-j-1$ ,  $\pi_1 = 1$  otherwise. Note that, for  $j = v = 0$ , the first term in equation (3.20) becomes zero. In accordance with the latter, the first four terms in equation (3.21) become zero. After some algebra equation (3.21) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-(j+v)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^p \frac{\hat{e}_{i1} \lambda_{ij}}{\phi_i - \phi_1} \phi_i^{j+v} + \phi_1^{j+v} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i0} \lambda_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_i} - \frac{\phi_i}{\phi_i - \phi_1} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{iq} \nu_{ij} \left( \frac{\phi_1^{q+j+v}}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_i} + \pi_1 \frac{\phi_i^{v+j-q+1} - \phi_1^{v+j-q+1}}{\phi_i - \phi_1} \right) \\ &\quad + \phi_1^v \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,j-k} \lambda_{ij,k} (\pi_2 \phi_1^k + \phi_1^{2j-k}) + \phi_1^v \sum_{k=v+1}^{q-1-j} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{ij+k} \lambda_{ij,k} \phi_1^{2j+k} \\ &\quad + \phi_1^v \sum_{k=1}^{\min(v, q-1-j)} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,j+k} \lambda_{ij,k} (\phi_1^{-k} + \phi_1^{2j+k}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where  $\pi_1 = 1$  for  $v > q-j-1$ ,  $\pi_1 = 0$ , otherwise, and  $\pi_2 = 0$  for  $k = j$ ,  $\pi_2 = 1$ , otherwise.

The  $j$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$  ( $0 \leq j \leq q - 1$ ) is given by

$$y_{t-j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i z_{t-j-i} \Rightarrow \text{cov}_j(y_t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(y_t, z_{t-j-i}). \tag{3.23}$$

Substituting (3.22) into the preceding equation, and after some algebra, we get

$$\text{cov}_j(y_t) = \sum_{i=2}^p e_{ij} \lambda_{ij} + \phi_1^j \zeta', \tag{3.24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta' = & \frac{1}{1 - \phi_1^2} \sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,j-k} \lambda_{ij,k^-} (\pi_1 \phi_1^{k-j} + \phi_1^{j-k}) \\ & + \sum_{v=0}^{q-1-j} \sum_{k=1}^v \sum_{i=2}^p \phi_1^{2v} \hat{e}_{i,j+k} \lambda_{ij,k^+} (\phi_1^{-k-j} + \phi_1^{j+k}) \\ & + \frac{\phi_1^{2(q-j)}}{1 - \phi_1^2} \sum_{k=1}^{q-1-j} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,j+k} \lambda_{ij,k^+} (\phi_1^{-k-j} + \phi_1^{j+k}) \\ & + \sum_{v=0}^{q-2-j} \sum_{k=v+1}^{q-1-j} \sum_{i=2}^p \phi_1^{2v} \hat{e}_{i,j+k} \lambda_{ij,k^+} \phi_1^{j+k} \\ & + \frac{1}{1 - \phi_1^2} \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i0} \lambda_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \phi_1 \phi_i} - \frac{\phi_i}{\phi_i - \phi_1} \right) \\ & - \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{iq} \nu_{ij} \left[ \frac{\phi_1^q}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_i)(1 - \phi_1^2)} + \frac{\phi_1^{q-2j} \phi_i}{(\phi_i - \phi_1)(1 - \phi_1 \phi_i)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{\phi_1^{q-2j+1}}{(\phi_i - \phi_1)(1 - \phi_1^2)} \right], \tag{3.24a} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_1 = 1$  for  $k = j$ ,  $\pi_1 = 0$  otherwise. We employ the same reasoning with the one used in the first method (p. 631) to get

$$\zeta' = e_{10} \lambda_{1j}. \tag{3.25}$$

Finally, substituting the preceding equation into (3.24) we get (3.6). ■

#### 4. ARMA MODEL REDUNDANCY

**COROLLARY 1.** *A sufficient condition for the lack of the ARMA( $p, q$ ) model redundancy is given by*

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \lambda_{iq} \neq 0,$$

where  $\lambda_{iq}$  is given by (3.6b).

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 2. ■

**A Note on ARMA Model Parameter Redundancy.** When the first inverse root of the AR polynomial ( $\phi_1$ ) is equal to the first inverse root of the MA polynomial ( $\theta_1^*$ ) the order of the autoregressive part reduces from  $p$  to  $p - 1$ . In accordance with the latter, the coefficient of the  $\phi_1^j$  in the  $j$ th autocovariance, for  $j \geq q$ , ( $\lambda_{1q}$ ) becomes zero. Moreover, the order of the MA part reduces from  $q$  to  $q - 1$ . In accordance with the latter, the autocovariance of order  $q$  becomes

$$\text{cov}_q(y_t) = \sum_{i=2}^p e_{iq} \lambda_{iq} = \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,q} \lambda'_{i,q-1}, \tag{4.1}$$

because

$$\frac{\phi_i \lambda_{iq}}{(1 - \theta_1^* \phi_i)(\phi_i - \theta_i^*)} = \lambda'_{i,q-1}, \tag{4.1a}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda'_{i,j} &= \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} (\theta'_k)^2 + \sum_{l=1}^j \sum_{k=0}^{q-1-l} \theta'_k \theta'_{k+l} (\phi_i^l + \phi_i^{-l}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{l=j+1}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1-l} \theta'_k \theta'_{k+l} (\phi_i^l + \phi_i^{l-2j}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1b}$$

and

$$\prod_{j=2}^q (1 - \theta_j^* L) = - \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \theta'_j L^j, \quad \theta'_0 = -1 \tag{4.1c}$$

and the autocovariance of order  $q - 1$  becomes

$$\text{cov}_{q-1}(y_t) = \prod_{i=1}^p e_{i,q-1} \lambda_{i,q-1} = \sum_{i=2}^p e_{i,q-1} \lambda_{iq} + \sum_{i=1}^p e_{i,q-1} \nu_{i,q-1} \tag{4.2}$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^p e_{i,q-1} \lambda_{iq} = \sum_{i=2}^p \hat{e}_{i,q-1} \lambda'_{i,q-1}, \tag{4.2a}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^p e_{i,q-1} \nu_{i,q-1} &= -\theta_q \sum_{i=1}^p e_{i,q-1} (\phi_i^{2-q} - \phi_i^q) \\ &= \theta_q \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\phi_i^{p-2}}{\prod_{(l=1, l \neq i)}^p (1 - \phi_l \phi_i) \prod_{(k=1, k \neq i)}^p (\phi_i - \phi_k)} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2b}$$

**Example.**

Let  $y_t$  be an ARMA(2,2) process given by

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t, \tag{4.3}$$

where  $z_t = \phi_2 z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t - \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} - \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2}$  and where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are the two  $i$ -roots of the second-order polynomial  $1 - \phi_1^* L - \phi_2^* L^2$ . The preceding equation says that an ARMA(2,2) process can be expressed as an AR(1) process with an ARMA(1,2) error term ( $z_t$ ).

The  $n$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$  (for  $n \geq 2$ ) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_n(y_t) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{\phi_1^n \phi_1 [(1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) + (-\theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2)(\phi_1 + \phi_1^{-1}) - \theta_2(\phi_1^2 + \phi_1^{-2})]}{1 - \phi_1^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\phi_2^n \phi_2 [(1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2) + (-\theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2)(\phi_2 + \phi_2^{-1}) - \theta_2(\phi_2^2 + \phi_2^{-2})]}{1 - \phi_2^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The  $n$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$  has two terms. The first is the product of the first  $i$ -root raised to the power of  $n(\phi_1^n)$  and a coefficient that depends on  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \theta_1,$  and  $\theta_2$ . The second term is the product of the second  $i$ -root raised to the power of  $n(\phi_2^n)$  and a coefficient that also depends on  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \theta_1,$  and  $\theta_2$ . These two terms are such that if in the one we interchange the two roots we will get the other. And that is in accordance with the fact that the ARMA(2,2) process (4.3) is symmetric with respect to the two  $i$ -roots of the second-order AR polynomial. Note also that, if one of the two  $i$ -roots  $\phi_k, k = 1, 2$  is equal to one of the two  $i$ -roots of the MA polynomial  $[(1 - \theta_1 L - \theta_2 L^2) = (1 - \theta_1^* L)(1 - \theta_2^* L), -\theta_1^* \theta_2^* = \theta_2, \theta_1^* + \theta_2^* = \theta_1]$  (say  $\phi_1 = \theta_1^*$ ), then the process reduces to an ARMA(1,1) process and the coefficient of  $\phi_1^j$  in the autocovariance function becomes zero.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_j(y_t) &= \frac{\phi_2^j \phi_2 [1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 + (-\theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2)(\phi_2 + \phi_2^{-1}) - \theta_2(\phi_2^2 + \phi_2^{-2})]}{(1 - \phi_2^2)(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(\phi_2 - \phi_1)} \\ &= \frac{\phi_2^j}{1 - \phi_2^2} [1 + (\theta_2^*)^2 - \theta_2^*(\phi_2 + \phi_2^{-1})], \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

**5. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we showed that an ARMA( $p, q$ ) model can be expressed as a sequence of  $p$  AR(1) processes with ARMA( $p - i, q$ ) (where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) error terms. The first AR(1) process has an ARMA( $p - 1, q$ ) error term, the second has an ARMA( $p - 2, q$ ) error term, . . . , and the last one has a MA( $q$ ) error term.

Moreover, we showed that the ARMA( $p, q$ ) process is symmetric with respect to the  $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial ( $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p$ ). Hence, the autocovariance of the process is symmetric with respect to the  $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial.

This recursive and symmetric nature of the ARMA( $p, q$ ) model led us to find an exact-form solution for the  $j$ th autocovariance. We expressed it as an explicit function of the roots of the AR polynomial and the parameters of the MA part. It is the sum of  $p$  terms. The  $i$ th term is the product of the inverse of the  $i$ th root of the AR polynomial, raised to the power of  $j$ , times a coefficient that depends on  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_q$ . Because of the aforementioned symmetry, if in the coefficient of  $\phi_i^j$  we interchange  $\phi_i$  with  $\phi_k$  we will get the coefficient of  $\phi_k^j$ .

Furthermore, in our proof the  $i$ -roots of the AR polynomial can be either real or complex. The only restriction that we imposed is that all the roots are distinct.

**NOTES**

1. McLeod (1993) gives a simple condition, expressed in terms of the ARMA model parameters, for determining ARMA model redundancy. He derived the algebraic condition by setting the determinant of the auxiliary matrix of the ARMA model ( $J$ ) equal to zero.

2. Without loss of generality we assume that the variance of  $\epsilon_t$  is 1.

3. I am grateful to an anonymous referee from *Econometric Theory* for suggesting this method to me.

**REFERENCES**

Granger, C.W.J. & P. Newbold (1986) *Forecasting Economic Time Series*, 2nd ed. Academic.  
 McLeod, I. (1975) Derivation of the theoretical autocovariance function of autoregressive-moving average time series. *Applied Statistics* 24, 255–257.  
 McLeod, I. (1993) A note on ARMA model parameter redundancy. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 14, 207–208.  
 Pagano, M. (1973) When is an autoregressive scheme stationary? *Communications in Statistics* 1, 533–544.  
 Quenouille, M.H. (1947) Notes on the calculation of the autocorrelations of linear autoregressive schemes. *Biometrika* 34, 365–367.

**APPENDIX A: CASE OF TWO EQUAL ROOTS  
(AR(2))**

**Proof (1st Method).** Let  $y_t$  be an AR(2) process that is given by

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t, \quad z_t = \phi_1 z_{t-1} + \epsilon_t. \tag{A.1}$$

The covariance between  $z_t$  and  $y_{t-n}$  is given by

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_1^i \text{cov}_{n+i}(z_t). \tag{A.2}$$



Because  $z_t$  is an AR(1) process, its autocovariance is given by

$$\text{cov}_n(z_t) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{1 - \phi_1^2}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Substituting (A.3) into (A.2) we get

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^2}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

From (A.1) we get the variance of  $y_t$ ,

$$\text{var}(y_t) = \frac{\text{var}(z_t) + 2\phi_1 \text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-1})}{1 - \phi_1^2}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Substituting (A.3) and (A.4) into (A.5) we get

$$\text{var}(y_t) = \frac{1 + \phi_1^2}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^3}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The covariance of  $y_t$  is given by

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \phi_1^n \text{var}(y_t) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi_1^i \text{cov}(z_{t-i}, y_{t-n}). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Substituting (A.4) and (A.6) into the preceding equation we get

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^2} \left( \frac{1 + \phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} + n \right). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

■

**Proof (2nd Method).**<sup>3</sup> Taking the limit of (2.2) as  $(\phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_n(y_t) &= \lim_{\phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1} \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \left( \frac{\phi_1^n \phi_1}{1 - \phi_1^2} - \frac{\phi_2^n \phi_2}{1 - \phi_2^2} \right) \\ &= \lim_{\phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1} \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1 \phi_2)(1 - \phi_1^2)(1 - \phi_2^2)} \lim_{\phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1} \frac{\phi_1^n \phi_1(1 - \phi_2^2) - \phi_2^n \phi_2(1 - \phi_1^2)}{\phi_1 - \phi_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^3} \lim_{\phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_2} [\phi_1^n \phi_1(1 - \phi_2^2) - \phi_2^n \phi_2(1 - \phi_1^2)]}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_2} (\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^3} \lim_{\phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1} \frac{[\phi_1^n \phi_1(-2\phi_2) - (n+1)\phi_2^n(1 - \phi_1^2)]}{-1} \\ &= \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^2} \left( \frac{1 + \phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} + n \right). \quad (\text{A.9}) \end{aligned}$$

This method will be applicable to all multiple roots cases. ■

## APPENDIX B: CASE OF THREE EQUAL ROOTS (AR(3))

**Proof.** Let  $y_t$  be an AR(3) process that we express as a sequence of three AR(1) processes:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t, \quad z_t = \phi_1 z_{t-1} + x_t, \quad x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Substituting (A.8) into (A.2) we get

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^3} \left( \frac{1 + 2\phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} + n \right). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Substituting (A.8) and (B.2) into (A.5) we get the variance of  $y_t$ ,

$$\text{var}(y_t) = \frac{1 + 4\phi_1^2 + \phi_1^4}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^5}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Substituting equations (B.3) and (B.2) into (A.7) we get the  $n$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$ ,

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^3} \left[ \frac{1 + 4\phi_1^2 + \phi_1^4}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^2} + \frac{1 + \phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} \left( \frac{3n}{2} \right) + \frac{n^2}{2} \right]. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

■

## APPENDIX C: CASE OF FOUR EQUAL ROOTS (AR(4))

**Proof.** Let  $y_t$  be an AR(4) process that we express as a sequence of four AR(1) processes:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + z_t, \quad z_t = \phi_1 z_{t-1} + d_t, \quad d_t = \phi_1 d_{t-1} + x_t, \quad x_t = \phi_1 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Substituting equation (B.4) into (A.2) we get the covariance between  $z_t$  and  $y_{t-n}$ ,

$$\text{cov}(z_t, y_{t-n}) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^4} \left[ \frac{1 + 6\phi_1^2 + 3\phi_1^4}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^2} + \frac{3 + 5\phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} \left( \frac{n}{2} \right) + \frac{n^2}{2} \right]. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Substituting equations (C.2) and (B.3) into (A.5) we get the variance of  $y_t$ ,

$$\text{var}(y_t) = \frac{1 + 9\phi_1^2 + 9\phi_1^4 + \phi_1^6}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^7}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Substituting equations (C.2) and (C.3) into (A.7) we get the  $n$ th autocovariance of  $y_t$ ,

$$\text{cov}_n(y_t) = \frac{\phi_1^n}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^4} \left[ \frac{1 + 9\phi_1^2 + 9\phi_1^4 + \phi_1^6}{(1 - \phi_1^2)^3} + \frac{(11 + 38\phi_1^2 + 11\phi_1^4)n}{6(1 - \phi_1^2)^2} + \frac{1 + \phi_1^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} n^2 + \frac{n^3}{6} \right] \quad (\text{C.4})$$

■